Series 4 - Further important calculations on renormalization 20.03.2024
Part 1: In the lectures, you arrived at the following integral expression for $\tilde{\Pi}^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\Pi}^{\prime}\left(p^{2}\right)=\frac{g^{2}}{16 \pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{1} d x\left[\ln \left(\frac{m^{2}-p^{2} x(1-x)-i \epsilon}{m^{2}-\mu^{2} x(1-x)}\right)+\left(p^{2}-\mu^{2}\right) \frac{x(1-x)}{m^{2}-\mu^{2} x(1-x)}\right] . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, let's further investigate this expression and derive some interesting results.

1. Compute the imaginary part of the expression above to $\mathcal{O}\left(g^{2}\right)$.

Hint: Follow the discussion in Coleman's paragraph 16.1. Consider also that $\operatorname{Im} \ln (-\xi-i \epsilon)=$ $-\pi \theta(\xi)$, for $\xi$ real.
2. Use the formula for $\tilde{D}^{\prime}\left(p^{2}\right)$ and its spectral representation to show that, to all orders,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Im} \tilde{\Pi}^{\prime}\left(p^{2}\right) \propto\left|\tilde{D}^{\prime}\left(p^{2}\right)\right|^{-2} \sigma\left(p^{2}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

What can be said about the case $p^{2} \rightarrow \mu^{2}$ ?
Hint: Use the following formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Im}\left[\frac{1}{p^{2}-\mu^{2}+i \epsilon}\right]=-\pi \delta\left(p^{2}-\mu^{2}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and consider separately the cases $p \neq \mu^{2}$ and $p=\mu^{2}$ (the second case as a limit).
3. This question can be broken down to three parts:

3a. Prove that for $\langle n| \phi^{\prime}(y)|0\rangle$, with $|n\rangle$ to be an out state $\left|k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right\rangle$, one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right| \phi^{\prime}(y)|0\rangle=\int \frac{d^{4} q}{(2 \pi)^{4}} e^{i q \cdot y} \frac{k_{1}^{2}-\mu^{2}}{i} \cdots \frac{k_{n}^{2}-\mu^{2}}{i} \tilde{G}^{\prime(n+1)}\left(-k_{1}, \ldots,-k_{n}, q\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hint: Use equations (14.15) and (14.37) from Coleman to express this matrix element in terms of an integral over an appropriate renormalized Green's function.
3b. Argue why there is exactly one contribution to exactly one Green's functions $\tilde{G}^{\prime(3)}\left(-k,-k^{\prime}, q\right)$ for the Model 3 to $\mathcal{O}(g)$. Draw the respective Feynman diagram for this Green's function and write its mathematical expression.
3c. Prove that $\sigma\left(p^{2}\right)$ to $\mathcal{O}\left(g^{2}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma\left(p^{2}\right)=\left|\frac{g}{p^{2}-\mu^{2}}\right|^{2} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int \frac{d^{3} \mathbf{k}}{(2 \pi)^{3} 2 E_{k}} \frac{d^{3} \mathbf{k}^{\prime}}{(2 \pi)^{3} 2 E_{k^{\prime}}}(2 \pi)^{4} \delta^{(4)}\left(p-k-k^{\prime}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hint: Remember the formula for the spectral density $\sigma\left(p^{2}\right)$ in terms of the matrix element of a renormalized field between the vacuum and a multiparticle state (equation (15.12) from Coleman).

3 d . Verify that the answer in 3c. is consistent with the answer in 2 . combined with 1.
Hint: Integrate the expression in 3c. and work in the center-of-momentum frame (use the expression (12.24) from Coleman).
Part 2: Now, we turn our attention to a different topic and to another very important calculation.
4. Calculate the vertex of Model $3,-i \tilde{\Gamma}^{\prime}\left(p^{2}, p^{\prime 2}, q^{2}\right)$ to $\mathcal{O}\left(g^{3}\right)$, as an integral over (two) Feynman parameters, for $p^{2}=p^{2}=m^{2}$.

Hint: You may find the following formula useful

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \frac{d^{4} k^{\prime}}{(2 \pi)^{4}} \frac{1}{\left(k^{\prime 2}+a\right)^{3}}=\frac{i}{32 \pi^{2} a} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

5. Show that this is an analytic function of $q^{2}$ in the entire complex $q^{2}$ plane except for a cut along the positive real axis beginning at $q^{2}=4 m^{2}$ (This function also has interesting analytic properties when all three arguments are complex, but untangling the analytic structure of a function of three complex variables is a bit too much work for a homework problem).

Hint: You may find it helpful - but definitely not necessary - to think in $(w, z)$ instead of $(x, y)$ via the following substitution: $w=x+y, z=x-y$, with $w \in[0,1]$ and $z \in[-w, w]$, and $x, y$ the integration variables.

